ANAN

FOR SMALL & MEDIUM SCALE ENTERPRISES

	Table of Contents	Pages
1.	Introduction	4
2.	Auditor's Independence	4-5
3.	Small Entity	5-9
4.	Application of AAQAGAs to SMEs	9
5.	AAQAGA Documentation	9-11
б.	The Auditor's Responsibility to consider	× 35
	Fraud & Error in an Audit of Financial Statements	11-13
7.	Audit Evidence	13-16
8.	Risk Assessments and Internal Control •	17-21
9.	Planning	21-23
10.	Representations by Management	23-25
11.	Audit Materiality	25-27
12.	Analytical Procedures	27-29
13.	Audit Sampling	29-31
14.	Going Concern	31-34
15.	Quality Control for Audit work	34-36
16.	Audit of Accounting Estimates	36-37
17.	Subsequent Events	37-40
18.	Consideration of Compliance with Laws &	3/ 40
	Regulations	40-41
9.	Initial Engagements - Opening Balances	41-42
20.	Related Party Transactions	42-43
21.	Terms of Audit Engagement	43
22.	The Auditor's Depart on Financial Chat	44
23.	Auditing in a Computer Information System	77
	Envisorent	44-46
24.	PRODUCTURE OF THE PRODUCT OF THE PRO	46-47
25.	Note	47 47

ASSOCIATION OF NATIONAL ACCOUNTANTS OF NIGERIA

AUDIT GUIDELINES FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE ENTERPRISES

Introduction

- 1.1 ANAN Audit and Quality Assurance Guidelines (AAQAGs) and ANAN Quality Assurance Review Guidelines (AQARG) apply to audit of all entities, irrespective of its size, its legal form, ownership or management structure, or the nature of its activities. However, since audit of small entities gives rise to a number of special considerations, it is important to provide interpretative guidance on the application of AAQAGs to small entities. Those guidelines are supplement to, and not substitute for, the guidance contained in the relevant AAQAGs and takes account of the special consideration relevant to the audit of small entities. Those Guidelines do not establish any new requirements for the audit of small entities nor do they establish any exemptions from the requirements of AAQAGs. All audits of small entities are to be conducted in accordance with AAQAGs.
- 1.2 The audit of a small entity differs from the audit of a large entity as documentation may be simple. Audits of small entities are ordinarily less complex and may be performed using fewer assistants.

Auditor's Independence

2.1 Normally, in the case of small entities, the auditors provide advisory services on accounting matters. It may be noted that with a view to ensure the independence of auditors, the Council of Association of National Accountants of Nigeria has clarified that members are not permitted to write the books of account of their audit clients. Therefore, although the auditor may provide advisory services on accounting matters, he is advised

Audit Guidelines for Small and Medium Scale Enterprises to refrain from writing the books of account of the auditee. Also, while providing the advisory services, the auditor must ensure that:

- (a) He does not land in a situation where there could be conflict of interests and duty;
- (b) The client accepts the responsibility for the financial statements; and
- (c) Provision of advisory services does not result into breach of any provisions of ANAN Act. CAP A26, LFN 2004 and Rules and Regulations.

Small Entity

3.1 The meaning of "small entity" in this context gives consideration not only to the size of an entity but also to its typical qualitative characteristics.

Quantitative indicators of the size of an entity may include balance sheet totals, revenue and the number of employees but such indicators are not definitive. Therefore, it is not possible to give an adequate definition of a small entity solely in quantitative terms.

- 3.2 For the purposes of these Guidelines, a small entity is any entity in which:
 - (a) There is concentration of ownership and management in a small number of individuals (often a single individual); and
 - (b) One or more of the following are also found:
 - Few sources of income and uncomplicated activities;
 - Simple and/or personalized record-keeping;
 - Limited internal controls together with the potential for management override of controls.

- 3.3 The qualitative characteristics described above are not exhaustive, they are not exclusive to small entities and small entities do not necessarily display all of those characteristics. For the purposes of these Guidelines, small entities will ordinarily display characteristic (a) and one or more of the characteristics in (b). These characteristics need to be examined in every audit period and if any entity does not display these characteristics in the period, the entity would not be categorized as small entity in such period.
- 3.4 Small entities usually have few owners, often there is a sole proprietor. Many owner-manager (or owners and managers) adopt a 'hand on' approach to internal control issues by personally exercising supervisory controls. The owner may employ a manager to run the business but in most cases is directly involved in running the business on a day-to-day basis. As the proprietor, the owner-manager has a personal interest in safeguarding the assets of the business, measuring its performance and controlling its activities, but may be unable to divert limited management time to such matters as formal internal control procedures. However, the lack of formality does not of itself indicate circumstances giving rise to a high risk of fraud or error, the auditors of a small entity make their assessment of risk in the light of its particular circumstances.

Few Sources of Income and Uncomplicated Activities

3.5 Small entities typically have a limited range of activities and often specialize in a single product or service and operate from a single location. Uncomplicated activities can make it easier for the auditors to acquire, record and maintain knowledge of business. In addition, in many small entities, accounting populations are often small and easily analysed; the application of a wide range of audit procedures can often be straightforward in such circumstances. For example, effective predictive models for use in analytical procedures can sometimes be constructed.

Simple Record-Keeping

- 3.6 Most small entities employ few, if any, personnel who are solely engaged in record keeping. Consequently, the book keeping functions and accounting records are often simple. Record keeping may be simple, customized or personalized, which results in a greater risk that the financial statements may be inaccurate or incomplete. Many small entities outsource some of or all their record keeping. Small entities often find it convenient to use branded accounting software packages designed for use on a personal computer. Small entities need to keep sufficient accounting records to comply with any relevant statutory or regulatory requirements and to meet the needs of the entity, including the preparation and audit of financial statements. Therefore, the accounting system needs to be designed in such a manner so as to provide reasonable assurance that:
- (a) All the transactions and other accounting information that should have been recorded have in fact been recorded;
- (b) Assets and liabilities recorded in the accounting system exist and are recorded at the correct amounts; and
- (e) Fraud or error in processing accounting information can be detected.

Limited Internal Controls

3.7 Size and economic considerations in small entities mean that sophisticated internal controls are often desirable but not necessary. The fact that there are few employees limits the extent to which segregation of duties is practicable. However, for key areas, even in the very small entity, it can be practicable to implement some degree of segregation of duties or other form of unsophisticated but effective controls. Supervisory controls exercised on a day-to-day basis by the owner-manager may also have a significant beneficial effect as the owner-manager has a personal interest in

safeguarding the assets of the entity, measuring its performance and controlling its activities.

- 3.8 The owner-manager occupies a dominant position in a small entity. The owner-manager's direct control over all decisions, and the ability to intervene personally at any time to ensure an appropriate response to changing circumstances, are often important features of the management of small entities. The exercise of this control can also compensate for otherwise weak internal control procedures. For example, in cases where there is limited segregation of duties in the area of purchasing and cash disbursements, internal controls is improved when the owner-manager personally signs all cheques. When the owner-manager is not involved, there is a greater risk that employee fraud or error may occur and not be detected.
- 3.9 While a lack of sophistication in internal controls does not, of itself, indicate a high risk of fraud or error, an owner-manager's dominant position can be abused: management override of controls may have a significant adverse effect on the control environment in any entity, leading to an increased risk of management fraud or material misstatement in the financial statements. For example, the owner-manager may direct personnel to make disbursements that they would otherwise not make in the absence of supporting documentation.
- 3.10 The impact of the owner-manager and the potential for management override of internal controls on the audit depends to a great extent on the integrity, attitude, and motives of the owner-manager. As in any other audit, the auditor of a small entity exercises professional skepticism. The auditor neither assumes that the owner-manager is dishonest nor assumes unquestioned honestly. This is an important factor to be considered by the

auditor when assessing audit risk, planning the nature and extent of audit work, evaluating audit evidence, and assessing the reliability of management representations.

Application of AAQAGs to SMEs

4.1 The following interpretative guidelines are supplement to, and not substitute for, the guidelines contained in the relevant AAQAGs and take account of the special considerations relevant to the audit of small entities.

AAQAGs Documentation

- 5.1 The form and content of working papers are usually affected by matters such as:
 - The nature of the engagement
 - The form of the auditor's report
 - · The nature and complexity of the client's business
 - The nature and condition of the client's records and degree of reliance on internal controls
 - The need in particular circumstances for direction, supervision and review of work performed by assistants.
- 5.2 The auditor may have an in-depth understanding of the entity and its business, because of the close relationship between the auditor and the owner-manager, or because of the size of the entity being audited, or the size of the audit team and the audit firm. However, that understanding does not eliminate the need for the auditor to maintain adequate working papers. Working papers assist in the planning, performance, supervision and review of the audit, and they record the evidence obtained to support the audit opinion.

- 5.3 The discipline imposed by the requirement to record the reasoning and conclusions on significant matters requiring the exercise of judgment can often, in practice, add to the clarity of the auditor's understanding of the issues in question and enhance the quality of the conclusions. This is so for 'all audits, even in the case of a sole practitioner with no assistants.
- 5.4 Different techniques may be used to document the entity's accounting and internal control systems, depending on their complexity. However in small entities, the use of flowcharts or narrative description of the system are often the most efficient techniques. These can be kept as permanent information and are reviewed and updated as necessary in subsequent years.
- 5.5 AQARTG 7 and 8 provide examples of the contents, but the examples are not intended to be used as a checklist of matters to be included in all cases. The auditor of a small entity uses judgement in determining the contents of working papers in any particular case.
- 5.6 Nevertheless, the auditor of a large or a small entity, records in the working papers:
 - (a) The audit planning;
 - (b) An audit programme setting out the nature, and extent of the audit procedures performed;
 - (c) The results of those procedures; and
 - (d) The conclusions drawn from the audit evidence obtained together with the reasoning and conclusions on all significant matters requiring the exercise of judgement.

- 5.7 In the audit of a small entity, the nature and extent of the auditor's procedures and, consequently, of the documentation needed, are likely to be influenced by the following characteristics of small entities:
 - (a) Simple ownership and management structures;
 - (b) Uncomplicated activities with few products and services (and few locations).
 - (c) Few, if any, employees;
 - (d) Simplified accounting records;
 - (e) Packaged accounting software; and
 - (f) few, if any, internal controls.

The Auditor's Responsibility to Consider Fraud and Error in an Audit of Financial Statements.

As a general Principle, the auditor follows procedures designed to satisfy himself that the financial statements reflect a true and fair view of the financial position and operating results of the enterprises. The auditor recognizes that, because of the test nature and other inherent limitations of an audit, together with the inherent limitations of any system of internal control, there is an unavoidable risk that some material misstatement may remain undiscovered, while in many situations the discovery of material misstatement by management may often arise during the conduct of the audit, such discovery is not the main objective of audit nor is the auditor's programme of work specifically designed for such discovery. The audit cannot, therefore be relied upon to ensure the discovery of all frauds or errors but where the auditor has any indication that some fraud or error

may have occurred which could result in material misstatement, the auditor should extend his procedures to confirm or dispel his suspicions,

- 6.2 The presence of a dominant owner-manager is an important factor in the overall control environment, as the need for management authorization can compensate for otherwise weak control procedures and reduce the risk of employee fraud and error. However, this can be a potential weakness since there is the opportunity for management override of controls. The owner-manager's attitude to control issues in general and to the personal exercise of supervisory controls can have a significant influence on the auditor's approach. The auditor's assessment of the effect on such matters is conditioned by knowledge of that particular entity and the integrity of owner-manager.
- 6.3 Matters that auditors may take into account in this assessment include the following:
 - Whether the owner-manager has a specific identifiable motive (for example, dependence of the owner-manager on the success of the entity)to distort the financial statements, combined with the opportunity to do so.
 - Whether the owner-manager makes no distinction between personal and business transactions.
 - Whether the owner-manager's life-styles is materially inconsistent with the level of his or her remuneration (this includes other sources of income of which the auditor may be aware by completing the owner-manager's tax return for example).
 - Whether there are frequent changes of professional advisors.
 - Whether the start date for the audit has been repeatedly delayed or there are unexplained demands to complete the audit in an unreasonable short period of time.
 - Whether there are unusual transactions around the year end that have a material effect on profit.

- Whether there are unusual related party transactions.
- Whether the payments of fees or commissions to agents and consultants appear excessive.
- Whether there are disputes with tax authorities.
- Whether parts of the detailed accounting records are unavailable or have been lost for implausible reasons.
- Whether there is a significant level of cash transactions without adequate documentation.
- Whether there are many transactions without adequate documentation.
- Whether numerous unexplained aspects of audit evidence (such as difference between the accounting records and third-party confirmations, or unexpected results of analytical procedures).
- Whether there is inappropriate use of accounting estimates.
- Whether the owner-manager or key personnel have not taken annual leave for a long period of time.
- Whether there is lack of sufficient working capital.
- Whether weaknesses reported earlier are continued.
- Whether there is non-maintenance of year ending inventory and stock registers.

AUDIT EVIDENCE

7.1 General auditing principles require the Auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence through the performance of compliance and substantive procedures to enable him to draw reasonable conclusions therefrom on which to base his opinion on the financial information. Compliance procedures are tests designed to obtain reasonable assurance that those internal controls on which audit reliance is to be placed are in effect.

Substantive procedures are designed to obtain evidence as to the

completeness, accuracy and validity of the data produced by the accounting system.

They are of two types:

- (a) Tests of details of transactions and balances;
- (b) Analysis of significant ratios and trends including the resulting enquiry of unusual fluctuations of items.

Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence.

- 7.2 Sufficiency and appropriateness are interrelated and apply to evidence obtained from both compliance and substantive procedures. Sufficiency refers to the quantum of audit evidence obtained; appropriateness relates to its relevance and reliability. Normally, the auditor finds it necessary to rely on evidence that is persuasive rather than conclusive. He may often seek evidence from different sources or of different nature to support the same assertion.
- 7.3 The auditor should evaluate whether he has obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence before he draws his conclusions therefrom. The audit evidence should, in total, enable the auditor to form an opinion on the financial information. In forming such an opinion, the auditor may obtain evidence on a selective basis by way of judgemental or statistical sampling procedures. For example, the auditor may select only certain account receivables for confirmation that payroll rates have been properly authorized.
- 7.4 The auditor's judgement as to what is sufficient appropriate audit evidence is influenced by such factors as:
- (a) The degree of risk of misstatement, which may be affected by factors such

as:

- (i) The nature of item;
- (ii) The adequacy of internal control;
- (iii) The nature or size of the business carried on by the entity;
- (iv) Situations which may exert an unusual influence on management;
- (v) The financial position of the entity;
- (b) The materiality of the item;
- (c) The experience gained during the previous audits;
- (d) The results of the auditing procedures, including fraud and error which may have been found;
- (e) The type of information available;
- (f) The trend indicated by accounting ratios and analysis.
- 7.5 Obtaining audit evidence from compliance procedures is intended to reasonably assure the auditor in respect of the following assertions:

Existence: that the internal control exists.

Effectiveness: that the internal control is operating effectively.

Continuity: that the internal control has so operated throughout the period of reliance.

7.6 In the audit of small entities, there are particular problems in obtaining audit evidence to support the assertion of completeness. There are two principal reasons for this:

- (a) the owner-manager occupies a dominant position and may be able to ensure that some transactions are not recorded; and
- (b) the entity may not have internal control procedures that provide documentary evidence that all transactions are recorded.
- The auditor plans and conducts the audit with an attitude of professional skepticism. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the auditor is entitled to accept representations as truthful and records as genuine.
- The auditor of a small entity need not assume that there will be limited internal controls over the completeness of important populations such as revenue. Many small entities have some form of numerically based system to control the dispatch of goods or the provision of services. Where there is such a system to ensure completeness, the auditor may obtain audit evidence of its operation, by means of tests of control, to assist in determining whether control risk can be assessed at less than high in order to justify a reduction in the extent of substantive testing.
- 7.9 Where there are no internal controls relevant to the assertion, the auditor may be able to obtain sufficient evidence from substantive procedures alone. Such procedures may include the following:
 - Comparing recorded amounts with amounts calculated on the basis of separately recorded data, for example, goods issues recorded in physical stock records may be expected to give rise to charges to clients.
 - Reconciling total quantities of goods bought and sold.
 - Analytical procedures;
 - External confirmation;
 - A review of transactions after the balance sheet date.

Risk assessments and Internal Control

8.1 General Auditing Principles require that the auditors should obtain an understanding of the accounting and internal control systems sufficient to plan the audit and develop an effective audit approach. The auditor should use professional judgement to assess audit risk and to design audit procedures to an acceptably low level.

Inherent Risk

- 8.2 Audit Principles require that in developing the overall audit plan, the auditor should assess inherent risk at the level of financial statements. In developing the audit programme, the auditor should relate such assessment to material account balances and classes of transactions at the level of assertions made in the financial statements, or assume that inherent risk is high for the assertion, taking into account factors relevant both to the financial statements as a whole and to the specific assertions. When the auditor makes an assessment that the inherent risk is not high, he should document the reasons for such assessment.
- 8.3 In the audit of a small entity, control risk is often assumed or assessed as high, at least for certain financial statement assertions. The assessment of inherent risk for those assertions takes on a particular significance, as it has a direct impact on the extent of substantive procedures. There are difficulties in the assessment of the inherent risk of a small entity, for example there may be increased risk as a result of the concentration of ownership and control. However, the auditor's assessment of inherent risk for material financial statement assertions, rather than an assumption that it is high, may enable the auditor to conduct a more efficient and effective audit.

Control Risk

- 8.4 The auditing principles also require that after obtaining an understanding of the accounting system and internal control system, the auditor should make a preliminary assessment of control risk, at the assertion level, for each material account balance or class of transactions.
- 8.5 An understanding of the control environment is essential to the understanding of control risk. The auditor considers the overall influence of the owner-manager and other key personnel. For example, the auditor considers whether the owner-manager displays a positive control consciousness and considers the extent to which the owner-manager and other key personnel are actively involved in day to-day operations.
- 8.6 After obtaining an understanding of the accounting and internal control systems, the auditor makes a preliminary assessment of control risk, at the assertion level, for each material account balance or class of transactions. Substantive procedures may be reduced if reliance on these controls is warranted after investigation and testing. However, many internal controls relevant to large entities are not practical in the small entity, and as a result it may not be possible to rely on internal control to detect fraud or error. For example, segregation of duties may be severely limited in small entities because accounting procedure may be performed by few persons who may have both operating and custodial responsibilities. Similarly, when there are few employees, it may not be possible to set up a system of independent checking of their work.
- 8.7 Inadequate segregation of duties and the risk of error may, in some cases, be offset by other control procedures such as the exercise of strong supervisory controls by the owner-manager by means of direct personal knowledge of the entity and involvement in transactions. However this, in itself, may introduce other risks such as the potential for management

override and fraud. Particular difficulties include the possible understatement of income by the non-recording or misrecording of sales. In circumstances where segregation of duties is limited and evidence of supervisory controls is lacking, the audit evidence necessary to support the auditor on the financial statements may have to be obtained entirely through the performance of substantive procedures.

- 8.8 The auditor of a small entity may decide, based on the auditor's understanding of the accounting system and control environment, to assume that control risk is high without planning or performing any detailed procedures (such as tests of controls) to support that assessment. Even where there appear to be effective controls it may be more efficient for the auditor to confine audit procedures to those of a substantive nature.
- 8.9 The auditor makes management aware of material weakness in the design or operation of the accounting and internal control systems that have come to the auditor's attention. Recommendations for improvement may also be made in this communication. Such recommendations are particularly valuable for the development of the small entity's accounting and internal control systems.

Detection Risk

- 8.10 The general auditing principles further require the auditor to consider the assessed levels of inherent and control risks in determining the nature, timing and extent of substantive procedures required to reduce the audit risk to an acceptably low level. In this regard the auditor would consider:
- (a) The nature of substantive procedures, for example, using tests directed toward independent parties outside the entity rather than tests directed toward parties or documentation within the entity, or using tests of details for a particular audit objective in addition to analytical procedures;

- (b) The timing of substantive procedures, for example, performing them at period end rather than at an earlier date; and
- (c) The extent of substantive procedures, for example, using a larger sample size.
- 8.11 The auditor uses the assessments of inherent and controls risk to determine the substantive procedures that will provide the audit evidence to reduce detection risk, and therefore audit risk, to an acceptable level. In some small entities, such as those where most transactions are for cash and there is no regular pattern of costs and margins, the available evidence may be inadequate to support an unqualified opinion on the financial statements.

Accounting and Internal Control

- 8.12 In general, internal controls relating to the accounting system are concerned with achieving the following objectives:
 - Transactions are executed in accordance with management's general or specific authorization.
 - All transactions and other events are promptly recorded in the correct amount, in the appropriate accounts and in the proper accounting period so as to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with the applicable accounting standards, other recognized accounting policies and practices and relevant statutory requirements, if any, and to maintain accountability for assets.
 - Assets and records are safeguarded from unauthorized access, use or disposition.
 - Recorded assets are compared with the existing assets at reasonable intervals and appropriate action is taken with regard to any differences.

Audit Risk in the Small Business

8.13 The auditor needs to obtain the same level of assurance in order to express an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of both small and large entities. However, many internal controls, which would be relevant to large entities are not practical in the small business. For example, in small businesses, accounting procedures may be performed by a few persons who may have both operating and custodial responsibilities, and therefore, segregation of duties may be missing or severely limited. Inadequate segregation of duties may, in some cases, be offset by strong management control system in which owner/manager supervisory controls exist because of direct personal knowledge of the entity and involvement in transactions. In circumstances where segregation of duties is limited and audit evidence of supervisory controls is lacking, the audit evidence necessary to support the auditor's opinion on the financial statements may have to be obtained entirely through the performance of substantive procedures.

Planning

- 9.1 Basic auditing principles generally require the auditor to plan his work to enable him to conduct an effective audit in an efficient and timely manner. Plans should be based on knowledge of the client's business.
 - Plans should be made to cover, among other things:
- (a) Acquiring knowledge of the client's accounting systems, policies and internal procedures;
- (b) Establishing the expected degree of reliance to be placed on internal control;

- (c) Determining and programming the nature, timing and extent of the audit procedures to be performed; and
- (d) Coordinating the work to be performed.
- 9.2 Many audits of small entities are conducted by the audit engagement partner (or sole practitioner) working with one audit assistant (or without audit assistants). These circumstances will affect the auditor's approach to planning and can be performed on a less formal scale. With a smaller team, co-ordination and communication between team members is less complicated.
- 9.3 Planning the audit of a small entity need not be a complex or time-consuming exercise. Planning can be according to the size of the entity and the complexity of the audit. For example, on some small audits, planning may be carried out at a meeting with the owner-manager of the entity or when the entity's records become available to the auditor for audit.
- 9.4 Planning the audit can, however, start at the completion of the previous year's audit as the auditor will be well placed to plan ahead for the next year. A file note prepared at this time, based on a review of the working papers and highlighting issues identified in the audit just completed that will have some bearing of the next year, can be particularly helpful. This file note, amended for changes arising during the subsequent year, could then be the initial basis for planning the next audit.
- 9.5 Discussion with the owner-manager is a very important part of planning, especially in a first year audit. Such discussions do not need a special meeting: they can often take place as a part of other meetings, conversations or correspondence.

In principle, planning comprises developing a general strategy (reflected in an overall audit plan and a detailed approach for implementing the strategy in terms of the nature, timing and extent of the audit work (reflected in an audit program). However, a practical approach to the audit of a small entity need not involve excessive documentation. In the case of a small entity where, because of the size or nature of the entity, the details of the overall plan can be adequately documented in the audit program, or vice versa, separate documentation of each may not be necessary. When standard audit programs are used, these should be tailored to the particular circumstances of client.

Representations by Management

9.6

10.1 As a general rule, the auditor should obtain representation from management, where considered appropriate.

The auditor should obtain evidence that management acknowledges its responsibility for the appropriate preparation and presentation of financial information and that management has approved the financial information.

During the course of an audit, management makes many representations to the auditor, either unsolicited or in response to specific enquiries. When such representations relate to matters which are material to the financial information, the auditor should:

- (a) seek corroborative audit evidence from sources inside or outside the entity;
- (b) evaluate whether the representations made by management appear reasonable and correspond with other audit evidence obtained, including other representations; and

B.

(c) consider whether the individuals making the representation can be expected to be well informed on the matter.

Representation by management cannot be a substitute for other audit evidence that the auditor could reasonably expect to be available. For example, a representation by management as to the quantity, existence and costs of inventories is no substitute for adopting normal audit procedures regarding verification and valuation of inventories. If the auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that he believes would be available regarding a matter which has or may have a material effect on the financial information, this will constitute a limitation on the scope of his examination even if he has obtained a representation from management on the matter.

In view of the particular characteristics of small entities, the auditor may 10.2 judge it appropriate to obtain written representations from the ownermanager as to the completeness and accuracy of the accounting records and of the financial statements (for example, that all income has been recorded). Such representations, on their own, do not provide sufficient audit evidence. The auditor assesses the representation in conjunction with the results of other relevant audit procedures, the auditor's knowledge of the business and of its owner-manager and considers whether, in the particular circumstances, it would be reasonable to expect other audit evidence to be available. In view of specific characteristics of small entities, while assessing the evidentiary value of management representation it may be viewed with circumspection. The possibility of misunderstandings between the auditor and the ownermanager is reduced when oral representations are confirmed by the owner-manager in writing.

Audit Materiality

- Information is generally considered to be material if its misstatement (i.e., omission of erroneous statement) could influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial information. Materiality depends on the size and nature of the items, judged in the particular circumstances of its misstatement. Thus, materiality provides a threshold or cut-off-point rather than being a primary qualitative characteristic which the information must have if it is to be useful.
- from a qualitative and quantitative perspective. One purpose of this preliminary judgement about materiality is to focus the auditor's attention on the more significant financial statement items while determining the audit strategy. As there are no authoritative pronouncements on how materiality is assessed in quantitative terms, the auditor in each case applies professional judgement in the light of the circumstances. One approach to the assessment of quantitative materiality is to use a percentage of a key figure in the financial statements such as one of the following:
 - Profit or loss before tax (adjusted, if appropriate, for the effect of any abnormal level of items of expenditure such as the owner-manager's remuneration).
 - Revenue.
 - Balance sheet total.
- 11.3 Often in the case of small entities, draft financial statements are not available to the auditor at the commencement of the audit. When this is the case, the auditor uses the best information available at the time. The

current year's trial balance may be used, if available. Often an estimate of revenue for the current period can be more readily obtained than of profit (or loss) or of a balance sheet total. A common approach in the preliminary judgement of materiality is to calculate materiality on the previous year's audited financial statements as amended for known circumstances in relation to the year subject to audit.

- 11.4 Assessing materiality as a percentage of pre-tax results may be inappropriate when the entity is at or near the break-even point as it may give an inappropriately low level of materiality, leading to unnecessarily extensive audit procedures. In such cases, the auditor may apply the percentage method to, for example, revenue or balance sheet totals. Alternatively, materiality may be assessed having regard to assessed levels of materiality in prior years and the normal levels of results. In addition to considering materiality at the overall financial statement level, the auditor considers materiality in relation to individual account balances, classes of transactions, and disclosures.
- Whatever basis may be used to assess materiality for auditing purposes, when the auditors assess 'the aggregate of uncorrected misstatement', their conclusion as to whether financial statements give a true and fair view is based on a re-assessment of materiality. This reassessment takes account of the final version of the draft financial statements incorporating all agreed adjustments and information obtained during the course of the audit.
- 11.6 Although materiality at the reporting stage is considered in quantitative terms, there is no clear threshold value but rather a range of values within which the auditor exercises judgement. Amounts above the upper limit of the range may be presumed material and amount below the lower limit be presumed not material, although either presumption may be rebutted by applying qualitative considerations.

In addition, although planning may have been based on a quantitative assessment of materiality, the auditor's opinion will take into account not only the amount but also the qualitative nature of unadjusted misstatements within the financial statements.

Analytical Procedures

The auditor is usually required to apply analytical procedure at the planning and overall review stages of the audit. Analytical procedure may also be applied at other stages.

Analytical procedures mean the analysis of significant ratios and trend including the resulting investigation of fluctuations and relationships that are inconsistent with other relevant information or which deviate from predicted amounts.

Analytical Procedures in Planning the Audit

The auditor applies analytical procedures at the planning stage of the audit. The nature and extent of analytical procedures at the planning stage of the audit of a small entity may be limited by the timeliness of processing of transactions by the small entity and the lack of reliable financial information at that point in time, small entities may not have interim or monthly financial information that can be used in analytical procedures at the planning stage. The auditor may, as an alternative, conduct a brief review of the general ledger or such other accounting records as may be readily available. In many cases, there may be no documented information that can be used for this purpose, and the auditor may obtain the required information through discussion with the owner-manager.

Analytical Procedures as Substantive Procedures

- Analytical procedures can often be a cost-effective means of obtaining evidence required by the auditor. The auditor assesses the controls over the preparation of information used in applying analytical procedures. When such controls are effective, the auditor will have greater confidence in the reliability of the information and, therefore, in the results of analytical procedures.
- An unsophisticated predictive model can sometimes be effective. For example, where a small entity has employed a known number of staff at fixed rates of pay throughout the period, it will ordinarily be possible for the auditor to use this data to estimate the total payroll costs for the period, with a high degree of accuracy, thereby providing audit evidence for a significant item in the financial statements and reducing the need to perform tests of details on the payroll. The use of widely recognized trade ratio (such a profit margins for different types of retail entities) can often be used effectively in analytical procedure to provide evidence to support the reasonableness of recorded items. The extent of analytical procedures in the audit of a small entity may be limited because of the non-availability of information on which the analytical procedures are based.
- 12.5 Predictive analytical procedures can often be an effective means of testing for completeness, provided the results can be predicted with a reasonable degree of precision and confidence. Variations from expected results may indicate possible omissions that have not been detected by other substantive tests.
- 12.6 However, different types of analytical procedure provide different levels of assurance. Analytical procedures can be a very persuasive source of evidence and may eliminate the need for further verification by means of

tests of details. In contrast, calculation and comparison of gross margin percentages as a means of confirming a revenue figure may be a less persuasive source of evidence, but may provide useful corroboration if used in combination with other audit procedures.

Analytical Procedures as Part of the Overall Review .

12.7 The analytical procedures ordinarily performed at this stage of the audit are very similar to those that would be used at the planning stage of the audit.

These include the following:

- Comparing the financial statements for the current year to those of previous years.
- Comparing the financial statements with any budgets, forecasts, or management expectations.
- Reviewing trends in any important financial statement ratios.
- Considering whether the financial statements adequately reflect any change in the entity of which the auditor is aware.
- Inquiring into unexplained or unexpected features of the financial statements

Audit Sampling

13.1 When using either statistical or none statistical sampling methods the auditor should design and select an audit sample, perform audit procedures thereon, and evaluate sample results so as to provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence.

Audit sampling means the application of audit procedures to less than 100% of the items within an account balance or class of transactions to enable the auditor to obtain and evaluate audit evidence about some characteristic of the items selected in order to form or assist in forming a conclusion concerning the population.

It is important to recognize that certain testing procedures do not come within the definition of sampling. Tests performed on 100% of the items within a population do not involve sampling. Likewise, applying audit procedures to all items within a population which have a particular characteristic (for example, all items over a certain amount) does not qualify as audit sampling with respect to the portion of the population examined, nor with regard to the population as a whole, since the items were not selected from the total population on a basis that was expected to be representative. Such items might imply some characteristic of the remaining portion of the population.

- 13.2 There are a variety of methods of selecting items for testing, the auditor's choice of an appropriate method will be guided by considerations of effectiveness and efficiency. The means available to the auditor are:
 - (a) selecting all items (100% examination);
 - (b) selecting specific items; or
 - (c) audit sampling.
- 13.3 The small population ordinarily encountered in small entities may make it feasible to test:
 - (a) 100% of the population; or
 - (b) 100% of some part of the population, for example, all items above a given amount, applying analytical procedures to the balance of the population, if it is material.
- 13.4 When above methods of obtaining audit evidence are not adopted, the auditor considers the use of procedures involving audit sampling. When

the auditor decides to use audit sampling, the same underlying principles apply in both large and small entities. The auditor selects sample items in such a way that the sample can be expected to be representative of the population. One choice to be made by the auditor is whether to use statistical or non-statistical sampling methods. Both methods involve the exercise of a high degree of judgement by the auditor. However, in the audit of small entities the required level of assurance can be obtained in a cost effective manner by the use of non-statistical methods of determining the sample size and selecting the sample items.

- 13.5 With non-statistical methods, auditors apply the general principles when using their judgement to design the size and structure of the audit sample and to select the sample items. For example, they consider:
 - the audit objective to ensure the procedure is likely to achieve the objective;
 - the population, to ensure it is appropriate and complete (a sample cannot provide evidence about the completeness of the population from which it is drawn).
- 13.6 The auditors select the sample items in such a way that they can reasonably be expected to be representative of the population in respect of the relevant characteristics. The methods commonly used are random, systematic and haphazard selection.

Going Concern

14.1 The general auditing principles in recent time require that when planning and performing audit procedures and in evaluating the results thereof, the auditor should consider the approprietness of the going concern assumption underlying the preparation of the financial statements.

The auditor's report helps establish the credibility of the financial

statements. However, the auditor's report is not a guarantee as to the future viability of the entity.

An entity's continuance as a going concern for the foreseeable future, generally a period not to exceed one year after the balance sheet date, is assumed in the preparation of financial statements in absence of information to the contrary.

Accordingly, assets and liabilities are recorded on the basis that the entity will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business. If this assumption is unjustified, the entity may not be able to realize its assets at the recorded amounts and there may be changes in the amount and maturity dates of liabilities.

As a consequence, the amounts and classification of assets and liabilities in the financial statements may need to be adjusted.

The auditor should consider the risk that the going concern assumption may no longer be appropriate.

Indications of risk that continuance as a going concern may be questionable could come from the financial statements or from other sources.

Examples of such indications that would be considered by the auditor are listed below. This listing is not all-inclusive nor does the existence of one or more always signify that the going concern assumption needs to be questioned.

Financial Indications

- Negative net worth or negative working capital
- · Fixed term borrowing approaching maturity without realistic prospects of

renewal or repayment, or excessive reliance on short-term borrowings to finance long-term assets.

- Adverse key financial ratios.
- Substantial operating losses.
- Substantial negative cash flows from operations.
- Inability to pay creditors on due dates.
- Difficulty in complying with the terms of loan agreements.
- Change from credit to cash-on-delivery transactions with suppliers.
- Inability to obtain financing for essential new product development or other essential investments.

Operating Indications

- Loss of key management without replacement
- Loss of a major market, franchise, license, or principal supplier.
- Labour difficulties or shortages of important supplies.

Other Indications

- Non-compliance with capital or other statutory requirements.
- Pending Legal Proceedings against the entity that may, if successful, result in judgments that could not be met.
- Changes in legislation or Government policy.
- Sickness of the entity under any statutory definition.
- 14.2 The assessment of going concern may be dependent on a particular assumption. For example:
- (a) if the entity's operations are largely financed by a loan from the ownermanager, it may be important that these funds are not withdrawn from the business. For example, the continuance of the small entity may be dependent on the owner-manager subordinating his loan account to the

Audit Guidelines for Small and Medium Scale Enterprises entity in favour of other creditors, bank, other financial institutions;

- if the entity has, in effect, a single customer, it will be important that the trading relationship continues, or
- (c) if aggregate contingent liabilities are more than net worth.
- In such circumstances, the auditor considers the evidence available to support the assumption in question. For example, the auditor inspects appropriate evidence of the subordination. Where the entity is dependent on additional support from the owner-manager, the auditor needs to consider the owner-manager's ability to meet the obligation under the support arrangement, intention or understanding.

Quality Control for Audit Work

- 15.1 The primary objective of quality control is to provide assurance that audits are conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. The auditor of a small entity keeps this objective in mind when determining the nature, and extent of the policies and procedures appropriate to the circumstances.
- 15.2 Paragraph 1 of AAQAGs states "It is mandatory for every ANAN practicing firm to institute policies and procedures at both the firm and engagement level in order to provide reasonable assurance that its audit work and other assurance services are of consistently high quality.
- 15.3 Paragraph 3 of AAQAGs states that the objectives of quality control policies to be adopted by an audit firm will ordinarily incorporate the following:
 - (a) Ethical requirements;

- (b) Skills and competence;
- (c) delegation;
- (d) Consultation;
- (e) acceptance and retention of clients;
- (f) monitoring; and
- (g) documentation.
- 15.4 Each of the above policies should ordinarily be reflected in the arrangements established by firms auditing small entities.
- The requirements of AAQAGs relating to quality control on individual audits are mostly relevant to engagements where some of the work is delegated to one or more assistants. Many small entity audits are carried out entirely by the audit engagement partner (who may be a sole practitioner). In such situations, question of direction and supervision of assistants and review of their work do not arise as the audit engagement partner, having personally conducted all significant aspects of the work, is aware of all material issues.
- 15.6 The audit engagement partner (or sole practitioner) nevertheless needs to be satisfied that the audit has been conducted in accordance with AAQAGs. Developing or obtaining a suitably designed form of audit completion checklist may provide a useful tool for testing the completeness and adequacy of the process followed in an audit. Forming an objective view on the appropriateness of the judgements made in the course of the audit can present practical problems when the same individual also performed the entire audit. It can often therefore be helpful for that individual to allow a short interval after performing the entire audit work before reviewing the working papers relating to any material issues with, so far as possible, a 'fresh eye'. When particularly complex or unusual issues are involved, and the audit is performed by a sole practitioner, it may be desirable to consult with other suitably experienced auditors or the auditor's professional body, on a confidential basis.

Audit of Accounting Estimates

- 16.1 General auditing principles require the auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding accounting estimates.
 - "Accounting estimate," means an approximation of the amount of an item in the absence of a precise means of measurement. Examples are:
 - Allowances to reduce inventory and accounts receivable to their estimated realizable value.
 - Provisions to allocate the cost of fixed assets over their estimated useful lives.
 - Accrued revenue.
 - · Provision for taxation.
 - Provision for loss from lawsuit.
 - Insurer's liability for outstanding claims.
 - Losses on construction contracts in progress.
 - Amortization of certain items like goodwill and deferred revenue expenditure.
 - Provision to meet warranty claims.
 - Provision for retirement benefits in the financial statements of employers.
- 16.2 The steps ordinarily involved in reviewing and testing of the process used by management are:
 - (a) Evaluation of the data and consideration of assumptions on which the estimate is based;
 - (b) Testing of the calculations involved in the estimate;
- (c) Comparison, when possible, of estimates made for prior periods with actual results of those periods; and

- (d) Consideration of management's approval procedures.
- 16.3 Although the owner-manager is responsible for determining the amount of the estimate to be included in the financial report, the auditor of a small entity may often be asked to assist with or advise on the preparation of accounting estimates. However, assisting with this process does not relieve the auditor from obtaining sufficient and appropriate audit evidence regarding accuracy and the underlying assumptions used in arriving at the estimates.

Subsequent Events

- 17.1 In retention to subsequent events the general audit principles require:
 - the auditor to consider the effect of subsequent events on the financial statements and on the auditor's report; and
 - the auditor to design procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that all events up to the date of the auditor's report that may require adjustment of, or disclosure in, the financial statements have been identified.

Subsequent Events between the period and the date of the Auditor's Report

17.2 It is not common for small entities to be required to report shortly after their period end. It is often the case that more time elapses between the period end and the approval or signature of financial statement by the owner-manager in the case of small entities than in the case of large entities. The period to be covered by the auditor's subsequent events procedures is therefore often longer in the audit of a small entity, allowing more opportunities for the occurrence of subsequent events that can affect the financial statement.

- 17.3 The subsequent event procedures that the auditor of small entities performs will depend on the information that is available and, in particular, the extent to which the accounting records have been written up since the period end. When the accounting records are not upto date and minutes of the meetings of the directors have not been prepared, relevant procedures can take the form of enquiry of the owner-manager, recording the owner-manager's responses and inspection of bank statements.
- 17.4 The auditor, may, depending on the circumstances, consider that the letter of representation should cover subsequent events. The letter of representation is ordinarily dated on the same day as the audit report, thus covering the entire period since the period end.

Subsequent Events between the date of Auditor's Report and the Financial Statements being issued

- 17.5 Where, as in many small entities, the meeting at which the financial statements are approved or signed is immediately followed by annual general meeting, the interval between the two does not require any separate consideration by the auditor as it is so short.
- 17.6 If the auditor becomes aware of a fact that materially affects the financial statements, the auditor considers whether the financial statements require amendments, discusses the matter with management, and takes action appropriate in the circumstances.
- 18.1 General auditing rules require that in performing an audit of financial statement, the auditor should have or obtain knowledge of the business sufficient to enable the auditor to identify and understand the events, transactions and practices that, in the auditor's judgement, may have a significant effect on the financial statements or on the examination or audit report. Such knowledge is used by the auditor in assessing inherent and

control risks and in determining the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures.

- 18.2 The general rules provide a list of matters that the auditor may consider in relation to knowledge of the business. However, it will be sufficient for the auditor to use a checklist that has been appropriately tailored to the particular small entity; such a checklist can be reviewed and updated in subsequent years.
- 18.3 The auditor of a small entity is often in a position to have a wide and up-to-date knowledge of the business by virtue of the fact that there may be regular close contact with the owner-manager. This relationship often provides information on matters such as the following:
 - The activities of the small entity, its main products and services, and the industry in which it operates.
 - The management style, aims, and attitudes of the ownermanager.
 - Any plans for changes to the nature, management or ownership of the entity.
 - Trends in profitability or liquidity and the adequacy of working capital.
 - Legal or regulatory issues facing the entity, including its relationship with the taxation authorities.
 - The accounting records.
 - The control environment.
- 18.4 Documenting the auditor's knowledge of the business is equally important in all audits, irrespective of the size of the entity. However, the extent of the documentation depends on the complexity of the entity and the number of persons which are being engaged in the audit. Small entities are ordinarily

not complex and their audit rarely involves large teams of assistants. In many cases, the partner and perhaps, a single assistant may perform the audit. Therefore, whilst the auditor of a small entity will prepare documentation to a level sufficient to:

- (a) Facilitate proper planning of the audit; and
- (b) Provide for any change of responsibility within the audit firm, such as changes of audit engagement partner or the departure, illness or incapacity of assistants.

Such documentation will ordinarily be unsophisticated in format and as brief as circumstances allow.

Consideration of compliance with Laws and Regulations in an Audit of Financial Statement

- 19.1 General auditing principles require that when planning and performing audit procedures and in evaluating and reporting the results thereof, the auditor should recognize that non-compliance by the entity with laws and regulations may materially affect the financial statements. However, an audit cannot be expected to detect non-compliance with all laws and regulations. Detection of non-compliance, regardless of materiality, requires consideration of the implications for the integrity of management or employees and the possible effect on other aspects of the audit.
- 19.2 Apart from those laws and regulations that relate directly to the preparation of the financial statements, there may also be laws and regulations that provide a legal framework for the conduct of the entity and that are central to the entity's ability to conduct its business. As most small entities have uncomplicated activities, the legal and regulatory environment to which they are subject is less complicated than the environment in which large or more diversified entities operate.

19.3 Once the auditor of a small entity has identified any relevant industryspecific laws and regulations, this information is recorded as permanent information as part of the knowledge of the entity and is reviewed and updated as necessary in subsequent years.

Initial Engagements-Opening Balances

- 20.1 General auditing principle require that for initial audit engagements, the auditor's should obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that:
 - the closing balances of the preceding period have been correctly brought forward to the current period;
 - (b) the opening balances do not contain misstatements that materially affect the financial statements for the current period; and
 - (c) appropriate accounting policies are consistently applied.
 - (d) The opening balances are derived from financial statements of the preceding period which were not audited.
- 20.2 The fact that the preceding period financial statements were not audited does not reduce the auditor's need to obtain sufficient audit evidences regarding the opening balances and comparatives. Information about some of the opening balances may be readily available (for example, cash, debtors and creditors) and evidence may be obtained through some of the audit procedures in the current period (for example, debtor write offs, stock obsolescence and fixed assets). Where the auditor cannot obtain such evidence by other means, they consider the implications for their report.

Related Party Transactions

- 21.1 As a general rule, the auditor is required to perform audit procedures designed to obtain sufficient evidence of related parties and the related party transactions that are material to the financial statements. However, an audit cannot be expected to detect all related party transactions.
- 21.2 Significant transactions are often entered into between the small entity and the owner-manager, or between the small entity and entities related to the owner-manager. Small entities seldom have sophisticated policies and codes of conduct on related party transactions. Indeed, related party transactions are regular feature of many entities that are owned and managed by an individual or by a family. Further, the owner-manager may not fully understand the definition of a related party, especially where relevant accounting standards deem certain relationships to be related and others not. The provision of management representations in respect of the completeness of disclosure may entail some explanation by the auditor of the technical definition of a related party.
- 21.3 The auditor of a small entity ordinarily performs substantive procedures on the identification of related parties and related party transactions. However, if the auditor assesses the risk of undisclosed related party transactions, as low, such substantive procedures need not be extensive. The auditor often acts as the auditor of other entities related to the small entity, which may assist in identifying related parties. Where detailed substantive tests are required, the fact that the accounting populations in small entities are generally small may enable the auditor to examine all items.
- 21.4 The auditor's in- depth knowledge of the small entity may be of assistance in the identification of related parties, which in many instances, will be with entities controlled by the owner-manager. This knowledge can also

help the auditor to assess whether related party transactions might have taken place without recognition in entity's accounting records. In this context, when performing audit procedure which may identify related parties and related party transactions, the auditor should consider the substance of the relationship and / or transaction being tested and not merely the legal form.

Terms of Audit Engagement

22.1 Section 4 of the ANAN handbook on Public Practice indicates that: It is in the interest of both client and auditor, that the scope of the work which the auditor undertakes for a client should be in writing, preferably in the form of an engagement letter from the auditor to his client.

The engagement letter documents and confirms the auditor's acceptance of the appointment, the objective and scope of the audit and the extent of the auditor's responsibilities to the client.

22.2 In many cases, owner-managers of small entities are not fully aware of their own responsibilities or those of their auditors. In particular, owner-manager may not appreciate that the financial statements are their responsibility, particularly where the owner-manager has outsourced the preparation of the financial statements. One of the purposes of an engagement letter is to communicate clearly the respective responsibilities of the owner-manager and the auditor.

The Auditor's Report on Financial Statements

- 23.1 It is a universal practice that the auditor should review and assess the conclusions drawn from the audit evidence obtained as the basis for the expression of an opinion on the financial statements. Furthermore, the auditor's report should contain a clear written expression of an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole.
- 23.2 The objective of any audit is for the auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to be able to express an opinion on the financial statements. In many cases the auditor will be able to express an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of small entities. However there may be circumstances that necessitate a modification of the auditor's report.
- 23.3 When the auditor is unable to design or carry out procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence as to the completeness of accounting records, this may constitute a limitation in the scope of the auditor's work. The limitation would lead to a qualification of the opinion or, in circumstances where the possible effects of the limitation are so significant that the auditor is unable to express an opinion on the financial statements, a disclaimer of opinion is suggested.

Auditing in a Computer Information Systems Environment

- 24.1 The accepted practice universally is that an auditor should generally consider the effect of a Computer Information Systems (CIS) environment on the audit. The auditor should evaluate, inter alia, the following factors to determine the effect of CIS environment on the audit:
 - (a) The extent to which the CIS environment is used to record, compile and analyse accounting information.

- (b) The system of internal control in existence in the entity with regard to:
 - i. flow of correct and complete data to the processing centre;
- ii. Processing, analysis and reporting tasks undertaken in the installation;
- iii. The impact of computer-based accounting system on the audit trail that could otherwise be expected to exist in an entirely manual system.
- (c). The auditor should have sufficient knowledge of the Computer Information Systems to plan, direct, supervise, control and review the work performed. The sufficiency of knowledge would depend on the nature and extent of CIS environment.
- 24.2. When the CIS are significant the auditor should also obtain an understanding of CIS environment and whether it may influence the assessment of inherent and control risk. The nature of the risks and the internal control characteristics in CIS environments include the following:
 - Lack of transaction trails
 - Uniform processing of transactions
 - Lack of segregation of functions
 - Potential for errors and irregularities
 - · Initiation or execution of transactions
 - Dependence of other controls over computer processing
 - Potential for increased management supervision
 - Potential for use of computer-assisted audit techniques

Both the risks and the controls introduced as a result of these characteristics of CIS have a potential impact on the auditor's assessment of risk, and the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures.

24.3 The increasing availability of computer-based accounting systems that are capable of meeting both functional and economic circumstances of even the smallest entity impact on the audits of those entities. Small entities accounting systems often make use of personal computers.

- 24.4 Small entities are likely to use less sophisticated hardware and software package than large entities (often "packaged" rather than developed "in house"). Nevertheless, the auditor should have sufficient knowledge of the computer information system to plan, direct, supervise, and review the work performed. The auditor should consider whether specialized skills are needed in an audit.
- 24.5 Because of the limited segregation of duties, the use of computer facilities by a small entity may have the effect of increasing control risk.

For example, it is common for users to be able to perform two or more of the following functions in the accounting system:

- Initiating and authorizing source documents.
- Entering data into the system.
- Operating data into the system.
- Changing programs and data files
- Using or distributing output.
- Modifying the operating systems

Overall Review of Financial Statements

- 25.1 For the purpose of overall review of financial statements, analytical procedures are usually expected to be carried out. The overall review of the financial statements is not primarily a matter of completion of checklists on compliance matters. In addition it requires the auditor to 'stand back' from the details of accumulated audit evidence relating to individual components of the financial statements, so as to be able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The auditor's consideration of whether the financial statement assertions are:
- (a) consistent with their knowledge of the business and the results of other audit procedures, and
- (b) fairly disclosed, is a matter of the auditors' judgement, requiring

Audit Guidelines for Small and Medium Scale Enterprises appropriate levels of experience and skill.

25.2 The auditors analytical procedures applied when completing the audit are designed to assist in arriving at an overall conclusion as to whether the financial statements as a whole are consistent with their knowledge of the business; the results of such procedures are therefore an important factor to be considered for this purpose.

Note

Some of the materials used in these guidelines have been drawn from the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India's (ICAI) guidance note on special consideration in the audit of small entities.